

CONSPIRACY

CONSPIRACY – SEPARATE OFFENCE – Conspiracy may be fully committed even though the substantive offence may be abandoned, aborted or may have become impossible to commit – BALOGUN V A.G. OGUN STATE 2002 94 LRCN 260.

CONSPIRACY – MATTER OF INGREENCE – BALOGUN V A.G. OGUN STATE supra.

CONSPIRACY – PROOF OF BE DIRECT EVIDENCE – It is normally a matter of inference – DR. ODUNEYE V STATE 2001 83 LRCN 1.

CONSPIRACY – BEST EVIDENCE OF – Best evidence of conspiracy is obtained from one of the conspirators or from inference – NJOVENS V STATE 1973 ANLR 76; ABACHA V STATE supra.

CONSPIRACY – SUBSTANTIVE CHARGE NOT PROVED – conviction for conspiracy can still hold – ATANO V A.G. BENDEL STATE 1988 2 NWLR Pt. 75 201 at 226 – 7; OBIAKO V STATE 2002 100 LRCN 1710.

CONSPIRACY – PROOF – MATTER OF INFERENCE – ODUNEYE V STATE 2001 83 LRCN 1 at 4 Rat. 3

CONSPIRACY – DEFINITION – CONVICTION FOR CONSPIRACY BUT DISCHARGED ON SUBSTANTIVE OFFENCE – ODUNEYE supra